

The Academy of Management and Administration in Opole

IMPROVING LIVING STANDARDS IN A GLOBALIZED WORLD: OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES

Opole 2021



The Academy of Management and Administration in Opole

Improving living standards in a globalized world: opportunities and challenges

Monograph

Edited by Tetyana Nestorenko Tadeusz Pokusa

Opole 2021

Improving living standards in a globalized world: opportunities and challenges. *Monograph.* Editors: Tetyana Nestorenko, Tadeusz Pokusa. Opole: The Academy of Management and Administration in Opole, 2021; pp. 844.

Reviewers

prof. dr hab. Valentyna Smachylo – O. M. Beketov National University of Urban Economy in Kharkiv, Ukraine

dr Sławomir Śliwa – The Academy of Management and Administration in Opole

Editorial Board

prof. dr hab. Marian Duczmal – The Academy of Management and Administration in Opole Galyna Buchkivska – Doctor of Education, Professor, Honored Artist of Ukraine, Khmelnytskyi Humanitarian-Pedagogical Academy, Ukraine

Olena Chukurna – Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor, Odessa Polytechnic National University, Ukraine

Nadiya Dubrovina – CSc., PhD, Associate Professor, Bratislava University of Economics and Management, Slovakia

dr hab. Wojciech Duczmal – Academy of Management and Administration in Opole

Yuliia Kholostenko – PhD in Education, Associate Professor, Izmail State Humanitarian University, Ukraine

Olena Kolhan – PhD in Philological Sciences, Associate Professor, SHEI «Donbas State Pedagogical University», Ukraine

prof. WST dr Tetyana Nestorenko – Associate Professor, Berdyansk State Pedagogical University, Ukraine

prof. WSZiA, dr Tadeusz Pokusa – The Academy of Management and Administration in Opole

dr Jadwiga Ratajczak – The Academy of Management and Administration in Opole

Olha Shevchenko – PhD, Associate Professor, Volodymyr Vynnychenko Central Ukrainian State Pedagogical University, Ukraine

Natalia Ryzhikova – Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor, State Biotechnological University, Ukraine

Oksana Vdovichenko – Doctor of Psychological Sciences, Associate Professor, South Ukrainian National Pedagogical University named after K. D. Ushynsky, Ukraine

Editorial Office:

The Academy of Management and Administration in Opole 45-085 Poland, Opole, 18 Niedziałkowskiego Str. tel. 77 402-19-00/01 E-mail: info@poczta.wszia.opole.pl

Publishing House:

The Academy of Management and Administration in Opole 45-085 Poland, Opole, 18 Niedziałkowskiego Str. tel. 77 402-19-00/01

Authors are responsible for content of the materials.

© Authors of articles, 2021 © Publishing House WSZiA, 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface			
Part 1. Study of the "life quality" phenomenon: philosophical and psychological aspects	9		
1.1. Social and psychological determinants of academic mobility			
of university students of socionomic profile	9		
of health care system	23		
1.3. Conceptual bases of an estimation of the basic components	24		
1.4 Means of professional self-determination in career planning	54 44		
 1.5. Features of the introduction of immersive technologies as components of auxiliary and alternative communication in the psychological support 	54		
1.6. Features of socio-psychological adaptation of entrepreneurs	54		
in quarantine	68		
of public organizations	78		
1.8. Improving the quality of life and rehabilitation against the background of post-COVID-19 syndrome: the use of biosuggestive therapy	86		
1.9. The phenomenon of quality of life in modern society:	05		
1.10. The quality of education as one of the factors of the «quality of life» of a person.	95 106		
1.11. Features of the emotional sphere of teachers in the period	100		
of professional activity in the conditions of distance learning	114		
Part 2. Financial and economic instruments to improve			
the life quality	125		
2.1. Formation of agrarian entrepreneurship in the liberated territories of Azerbaijan	125		
2.2. Features of HR management digitalization as the basis of the modern enterprise development	137		
2.3. Challenges and factors of mergers and acquisitions to improve quality of life	146		
2.4. Monitoring of natural capital indicators as tool for achieving sustainable development goals	156		

3.1. Activity and physical education of young people as a way of quality	
of life	351
3.2. The influence of sports tape on the formation of a healthy lifestyle	362
3.3. Formation of a healthy lifestyle of pupils in hierarchy of life's values	372
3.4. Justification of the effectiveness of the student's own health program	383
3.5. Peculiarities of reactions of adaptation of cardiovascular system	
of schoolchildren to physical loading	391
3.6. The use of means of physical culture in ensuring a healthy lifestyle	
of students in secondary schools	399
3.7. Health orientation in education preschool children	406
3.8. Scientific and methodological fundamentals of the organization	
of health classes by physical culture on production	416

Part 4. Humanism and spirituality as factors of strengthening personal resources and improving the life quality	425
4.1. Socio-cultural identity of the community as a basis for the individual labour potential' formation and embodiment4.2. Development of creative potential of personality in the space of education as a factor of strengthening personal resource	425
and improving the quality of life	436
4.4. «Civilization of love» vs «anti-civilization» in the modern globalized world.	440
4.5. Activities of supported housing in Ukraine	472
Part 5. Legal responsibility mechanisms in the context of globalization	479
5.1. Legal bases of realization of legal regulation of business activity in the conditions of globalization	479
5.2. Globalization processes and their influence on the development	107
5.3. Digital communications management in virtual teams	487 494
5.4. Guarantees of providing of human rights in criminal realization	
in the conditions of globalization	505
of the heritage of traditional knowledge	512
Part 6. Interdisciplinary approach to solving the problem of improving	
the life quality	525
 6.1. Decision support system in the diagnosis of diseases 6.2. Quality of life: challenges of globalization 6.3. Socio-economic effects related to improving quality of life in a digital 	525 536
economy: enterprise and society levels	546
of integration of immersive technologies in the conditions of mixed learning	556
6.5. Practice-oriented aspects of providing personalization of professional	504
training of tuture it-specialists in the conditions of blended learning 6.6. The meaning of existing and intended local bypasses	584 622
6.7. Formation of inclusive and health-preserving competence	522
of pedagogical workers (analysis of monitoring research)	631

6.8. Health as a key aspect of improving the quality of life	639
6.9. Healthy approaches to the use of ICT in the New Ukrainian School6.10. Teoretical and methodical bases of creative self-realization of future	647
teacher-musician	656
6.11. Methodical tools for analyzing the processes of development of public	
quality management of higher education	665
6.12. Assessment of everyday activity of patients after laparoscopic	
cholecystectomy in the subacute stage of rehabilitation	673
6.13. Economic base of volunteering: the economic motivation of volunteers	
among higher education students in Ukraine	680
6.14. The potential of pedagogical technologies during distance learning	
in the study of theoretical material by adolescents and students (cadets)	
with the possibility of forming an active life position by means	
of Combat Horting	690
6.15. Peculiarities of the professional orientation of students	
.of the pedagogical university	705
6.16. Interdisciplinary approach to the formation of digital culture	
of a future music teacher	714
6.17. Pedagogical communication in the structure of professional training	
of the future teachers in the class of trumpet	724
6.18. Ensuring continuity in speech development of children	
with intellectual disabilities of primary school age	735
6.19. Strategy for minimizing the jellyfish aggregations influence	
to improve the life quality and rest in resort cities	753
6.20. Characteristics of the way of life for ninth grade students	
of a comprehensive school	761
6.21. Directions for improving public management of primary medicine	
at the local level	772
6.22. Mental health as a component of quality of life in an unstable	
environment	783
6.23. Ways of research of ethnic stereotypes of youth self-consciousness	797
6.24. Peculiarities of interrelation of vitality and coping-defense	
mechanisms of students in educational activity	807
Annotation	816
About the authors	827
	057

6.3. Socio-economic effects related to improving quality of life in a digital economy: enterprise and society levels

Humanity currently faces Industry 4.0 as a new reality. A massive predictable introduction of cyber-physical production systems to maintain the human needs as well as artificial intelligence is evolving. Different types of robots could replace many professions by year 2030. This might drastically change people's lives and societies and improve quality of life.

The report of the International Council on the Agenda for the Future of Software and Society, organized in the framework of the World Economic Forum, states that many disruptive technologies will reach a crucial point in their development by 2025 (Deep Shift, 2015). The society comes closer and faster near surprising technological innovations, as e.g., quantum computer, the universal introduction of the blockchain, virtual reality, and developments based on artificial intelligence. Every year experts and scientists discuss the issues related to the use of disruptive technologies, focusing on the positive sides for economic growth promotion.

Disruptive technologies and the issues of sustainable development. The main direction of Industry 4.0 is the formation of cyber-physical systems and the Internet of Things (IoT). The Internet of Things is a concept of an information-driven network of physical objects ("things") equipped with built-in technologies for interacting with each other or with the external environment. The formation of IoT is capable of rebuilding economic and social systems, contributing to personality development and the achieving sustainable development goals. IoT is closely related to sustainable development issues. First, it may significantly increase the efficiency of social systems, dematerialize industrial metabolism, and reduce the ecological impact on nature and improve quality of life. Second, it establishes conditions for the implementation of a circular economy. Third, disruptive technologies provide a solution to economic, environmental, and social problems. As a rule, disruptive technologies are not realized as separate innovations, but within a broad front (cluster) with other disruptive technologies. In one case, they serve as an auxiliary, providing the implementation of other disruptive technologies. In another example, they are the leading (essential) application of which other disruptive technologies work. That drives the innovative transformation of a systemic nature (Koblianska et al., 2019).

The duality between social and technological innovation is important because of the potential adverse effects of Industry 4.0, such as job losses, human substitution by technological innovations, end of privacy, and possible loss of human control. However, the social perspective demonstrates that technical innovations are likely to affect the diffusion of social innovation and vice versa positively. Digitization technologies in the public sector have a positive impact on citizen welfare and improve quality of life (Katz, 2017).

Despite the positive effects of Industry 4.0, Kovacs (2018) highlights the dark corners of Industry 4.0: security-related uncertainty, consequences of automation, distorting effects of measurement, unintended consequences of neglecting contextual interactions. Wright et al. (2018) involving stakeholder theory and social contract theory highlight the issue of ethical challenges as an Automation and AI wave demands for the new moral frame.

Economic and social implications of the transformative impact of disruptive technologies related to improving quality of life.

AI impacts. AI is transforming different sectors: *Transportation*. Uber and Lyft increased the use of self-driving technology. *Criminal Justice*. Advancements in face recognition facilitate control by authorities. Courts started to use AI to sentence criminals (Delgado, 2018). As well, too much innovation could be a terrible thing for society and lead to a dystopian future if society is not careful. The risk of significant disruptions to democracy is evident. *Advertising*. AI is targeted to personalized advertising. Advertisers are already able to select advertising that would have a positive impact on purchasing behavior (Delgado, 2018). Table 1 shows the forecast of the most important developments in the internet of things for 2025.

Table 1. Forecast of the most important developmentsin the internet of things for 2025

		-
Event	Important consequences	
	Positive	Negative
	Double effect	t option
The beginning of mobile	Improving the effectiveness of	Privacy violation; reduced data
phone implementation	treatment, personalizing data,	security, addiction
	monitoring the location of children	
	Changes in relationships between peop	ple
About 10% of people's	Personalization of clothing; health	Privacy violation; reduced data
clothing is connected to the	monitoring; self-managed treatment	security
Internet	Real-time identification	
One trillion sensors	Improving resource efficiency;	Breach of confidentiality; job
connected to the internet	productivity growth; improving the	loss for unskilled workers;
	quality of life; environmental	increased risk of hacking and
	monitoring; improving safety;	reduced security; increased
	cheaper services	complexity and danger of
		losing control
	Changes in business models; the emer	gence of new and decline of old
	businesses; increased use of pro	duction and personal assets;
	automation of information operations;	change of institutions
Over 50% of home internet	Improving the efficiency of the use	Vulnerability to crime and
traffic is expected to come	of resources and energy; comfort	cyber-attacks, reduced privacy
directly from devices	increase; increased security	
(neither entertainment or	Increase of distance works; change in	the number of jobs
communication)		
The emergence of the first	An increase in the number of deci-	Concern over privacy. Loss of
government, replacing a sig-	sions made in real-time. Improving	traditional jobs. Risks of abuse
nificant part of the channels	and speeding up decision making. An	of information (algorithm)
for obtaining information	open information data set for	owners. Risks of declining
(for example, census) with	potential investors. Resource-saving	confidence in the government
big data sets	and saving time. Simplification of	
	procedures for citizens. The	
	emergence of new jobs	
	Changes in government and business.	Changes in information use
10% of self-driving electric	Reduced stress and road rage.	Loss of jobs (taxi and truck
vehicles on the roads of	Improved security. The increasing	drivers). Income loss from
leading countries are	mobility of old people and people	traffic tickets. Increased risk of
expected	with disabilities. Improving electric	hacking and cyber-attacks
	vehicles and reducing emissions	
	Change in insurance and emergency a	ssistance

Source: Compiled from Christensen (2016), Schwab (2017), Schwab et al. (2018), Sotnyk et al. (2015)

Research on the Internet of Things proves several challenges: unauthorized surveillance, uncontrolled data generation, and use, inadequate authentication, and information security risks (Caron et al., 2016).

The disruptive technologies can bring significant challenges to policymakers, business leaders, and workers. There are several solution spaces to consider.

The World Economic Forum predicts that by 2025, 75 million jobs will have disappeared to be replaced by 133 million new ones as automation spreads in the workplace (Gilchrist, 2019).

Socio-economic effects of disruptive technology (Figure 1) are challenging because the set of critical functions of society is not constant over time, and plausible new functions that may develop in the years are unknown. Disruptive technologies may lead to the collapse of existing economic models (Magruk, 2016). All the processes ongoing in Industry 4.0 are complex and subject to a high degree of uncertainty. The current uncertainty makes it difficult predicting the possible effects of disruptive technologies.



Fig. 1. Positive and negative impact of disruptive technology (DT) on sustainable development (in brackets – the predominant DT effect)

The socio-economic effects of disruptive technology can be explained by analyzing the peculiarities of Industry 4.0. A disruptive technology is a technology that changes the bases of competition by improving the performance metrics along which firms compete. Therefore, the primary effect of a disruptive technology occurs in competition.

1. Direct environmental effects are the result of the decrease of environmental impact from energy production, the manufacture, and consumption of products (Sineviciene, et al, 2018). Advanced alternative energy technology made renewable energy highly competitive comparing to traditional sources. Significant pollution of water and soils originates from the toxic materials in the caught ash. Renewable energy sources (sun, wind, rain, geothermal) in the United States overtook coal-fired power plants for the first time. The share of the former was 21.56%, while the latter was 21.55%. Successes of the EU countries are even more impressive (Kabanov, 2019). The significant environmental effect will result in the widespread use of electric vehicles. It allows building electricity generating systems in more remote locations. Currently, road transport contributes up to 40% of air pollution. The development of alternative energy will increase this effect immensely.

2. Indirect environmental effects. Alternative energy can prevent significant environmental impacts of the processes of extraction, transportation, and storage of fuel resources (drainage and soil pollution, oil spills, pipeline accidents, blocking animal migration routes, etc.).

3. Dematerialization of the economy. Disruptive technology (primarily additive methods based on 3D printers) can significantly reduce material intensity and energy consumption (energy intensity and input of material per unit of product) (Sotnyk, 2012). The share of waste in traditional production equals 90-95% of natural resources extracted from nature. Additive technologies may significantly reduce the amount of waste.

If we compare traditional and alternative energy, the latter allows abandoning material and energy-intensive processes of extraction and transportation of fuel. This means there is no need to extract the materials and energy necessary for the production.

4. Improving resource efficiency. Resource efficiency is a key factor in a greening the economy (Sotnyk et al, 2015). The use of new materials, computerization (digitization) of design and manufacturing products based on artificial intelligence may significantly increase the efficiency of economic processes. Fiber-optic communication (quartz, glass, or polymer fiber) allowed increasing the speed of information transfer by more than five times. A single light guide can easily replace an entire cable containing several hundred metal wires.

5. A circular economy. Cyber-physical systems in Industry 4.0 and the Internet of Things are a step towards building a circular economy. The digitalization of production processes and the development of cloud technologies also contribute to this. Ideally, each product will have its label, which will carry information about the source of resources, production technology, the type of energy used, and other data. This information is the basis for creating closed material use cycles.

6. Reducing the risk of accidents and disasters. The new economy may significantly reduce the risk of emergencies that cause significant environmental damage. At least two circumstances contribute to this. The first is the dematerialization of the production and consumption processes. The dangerous links of production, transport, and storage of resources are eliminated. We can compare, on the one hand, traditional capacities requiring a significant amount of hazardous materials and energy carriers, on the other hand, additive manufacturing and alternative energy. The increased control of cyber-physical systems over the production and consumption process minimizes the negative impact of the human factor and the inevitable mistakes in production management.

7. Transition to environmentally friendly materials. The modern technological revolution and the creation of new materials allow them to be included in the metabolism of ecosystems. In particular, silicon and cellulose "ink" were invented for 3D printers. These substances are in the material metabolism of the planet. Packaging materials from agricultural waste became widespread.

8. *Public evaluation of projects*. The platforms for the broad involvement of people in public governance allow environmental impact evaluation by the public at a

minimal cost. There are prerequisites for taking into account the views of citizens in the formation of their environment. One of the basic principles of sustainable development can be implemented in practice: "think globally, act locally".

9. Sociology of development. The formation of the Internet of things and the widespread use of robots may significantly reduce the physical labor. The increase of human well-being in different countries allows satisfying basic biological needs. Modern methods of agricultural production ("vertical" farms, test-tube meat) are essential. This forms prerequisites for the implementation of the basic approach and creates the conditions for the development of a personal basis. It is ultimately the main goal of sustainable development.

10. Improving the quality of life. The implementation of individual monitoring of human health, the development of medicine based on the reproduction of renewable organs, and a new generation of pharmaceuticals, contribute to a significant increase in the quality of human life. It ultimately brings society closer to sustainable development.

The negative consequences of disruptive technologies are less noticeable and much more difficult to predict. However, many researchers (Schwab et al., 2018; Skinner, 2018) highlight the threats of the possible negative effects.

1. Excessive psychological impact. Information control over systems based on disruptive technologies demands constant concentration and is stressful. The situation is complicated because someone will have to solve information problems, the skills for solving which are not inherent to the biological nature of man. They must be newly acquired anew, and it is unclear to what extent a person will be able to withstand this psychological pressure.

2. Information vulnerability. The widespread use of cyber-physical systems in production and consumption may reduce the risk of human errors. At the same time, the weakness of these systems significantly increases in the case of information failures. We often encounter such phenomena today (the computer freezes, the Internet turns off, computer viruses). In the future, such situations may turn out to be much more dramatic. The situation may be worsened by the fact that there is no

alternative to the mentioned systems. Their complexity is continuously increasing. Completed tasks are incredibly complex. Cyberphysical systems are unique, and there is no alternative to them.

3. Increasing information dependence of a person. Constant concentration on the perception of information may cause specific relationships, similar to drug addiction. It can cause mental disorders, poor social behavior. Besides, this can cause negative processes in the body and abandoning regular physical activity. Ultimately, all these consequences worsen human health and stimulate the emergence of diseases.

4. The risk of creative potential reduction. Few people can switch to creative activities when they do not fulfill numerous production functions. Many people do not have sufficient creative potential. Part of society not capable of creative development may feel gradual personal degradation.

5. The increasing cost of producing waste in a green economy. The green economy can significantly reduce the environmental impact of production systems. However, the material basis of a green economy can have an environmental impact. First, the manufacturing green means of production is eco-destructive. Second, generated waste after the useful life period of assets should be disposed of or buried. For example, an enormous amount of waste from solar panels and batteries is expected soon. Today, people should think about the unification and industrialization of these processes.

6. Loss of jobs. The loss of traditional jobs as a result of the introduction of disruptive technologies is possible. New technologies will contribute to establishing new jobs, probably, no less than the ones that will disappear. However, this does not entirely solve the emerging social problems for two main reasons. First, not all people are ready to learn new professions due to their more complex information content. Second, even those who, in principle, are prepared to learn new functions are at rise of experiencing additional psychological overloads. Rapid technology changes necessitate acquiring new skills (and possibly their place of residence) several times during their lives.

7. *Privacy*. Individual privacy faces numerous threats from new technologies. On the one hand, new technologies help to reduce the corruption and criminal component in human activities. It will be increasingly tricky for subjects of such actions to keep the negative aspects of their efforts secret for the public. On the other hand, each person becomes more vulnerable to criminal manipulations and blackmailing by criminals.

8. *Hacking risks*. Hacker attacks and actions can withdraw many advantages of new technologies that are grounded based on information programs and communications – the targets for hackers. The fight against hacking and strengthening the security of systems should be accompanied by social measures aimed at improving the ethical component.

9. The risk of losing human control over cyber systems. Complex cybersystems regularly increase the ability of their self-design and self-organization. This is the basis for the development of the Internet of things and nanotechnology. Moreover, the implementation of nanotechnology without the self-organization of cybersystems is generally not completely possible. The self-organization of cybersystems can lead to a complete loss of human control. This danger increases as the Cloud develops. Currently, it acts as a global memory system. Very quickly, it evolves towards the formation of an independent universal mind, working at the meta-level. It may both control human behavior and establish other competing entities (including material ones) with the personal ones.

Currently the European Union and its institutions initiate a variety of initiatives to engage in social dialogue on digitisation in every Member State. These EU-level initiatives, developed within European Platform of National Initiatives "needs to take into account the social aspects of digitisation, coordinating and stimulating discussion in all Member States through the relevant National Initiatives".

This research was funded by a grant from the state budget of the Ukraine, "Fundamentals of the phase transition to the additive economy: from disruptive technologies to institutional sociologization of decisions" (No. 0121U109557).

References:

1. Caron, X., Bosua, R., B. Maynard, S., Ahmad, A. (2016). The Internet of Things (IoT) and its impact on individual privacy: An Australian perspective. Computer Law & Security Review. Volume 32. Issue 1. February 2016. Pages 4–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clsr.2015.12.001

2. Christensen C. M. (2016). The Innovators Dilemma. When New Technolo¬gies Cause Great Firms to Fail. Harvard Business Review Press. 2016. – 288 p. Available at: https://store.hbr.org/product/the-innovator-s-dilemma-when-new-technologies-cause-great-firms-to-fail/5851.

3. Danneels, E. Disruptive Technology Reconsidered: A Critique and Research Agenda. The Journal of Product Innovation Management. 2004. 21:246-258.

4. Deep Shift Technology Tipping Points and Societal Impact. Survey Report, September 2015. World Economic Forum. Available at:

http://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_GAC15_Technological_Tipping_Points_report_2015.pdf.

5. Delgado, Anthony (2018). Three Impacts of Artificial Intelligence On Soci¬ety. Forbes. June 13. Available at: https://www.forbes.com/sites/forbestechcouncil/2018/06/13/three-impacts-of-artificial-intelligence-on-society/#18910a686ec0.

6. Digitalisation of industry – Workers and social issues at the centre! (2017). European Commission. https://ec.europa.eu/digital-single-market/en/blog/digitalisation-industry-workers-and-social-issues-centre.

7. Gilchrist, K. (2019). The jobs market is changing – and so should your re¬sume. Make it. https://www.cnbc.com/2019/04/19/future-of-work-how-to-write-a-resume-for-a-changing-job-market.html.

8. Kabanov, I. (2019). Renewable Energy Infographics in Europe. Across the EU, renewables are on the rise. https://echo.msk.ru/blog/metkere/2467949-echo/.

9. Katz R. L. (2017). Social and Economic Impact of Digital Transformation on the Economy. GSR-17 Discussion paper. Available at: https://www.itu.int/en/ITU-

D/Conferences/GSR/Documents/GSR2017/Soc_Eco_impact_Digital_transformation_finalGSR.pdf. 10. Kovacs O. The dark corners of industry 4.0 – Grounding economic governance 2.0. Technology in Society. Volume 55. 2018. Pages 140-145. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2018.07.009.

11. Magruk A. Uncertainty in the Sphere of the Industry 4.0 – Potential Areas to Research. Business, Management and Education. 2016. 14 (2): 275-291. doi:10.3846/bme.2016.332.

12. Schwab, K., & Davis, N. (2018). Shaping the Fourth Industrial Revolution. Davos: World Economic Forum.

13. Sineviciene, L., Kubatko, O., Derykolenko, O., Kubatko, O. (2018). The impact of economic performance on environmental quality in developing countries. Inter-national Journal of Environmental Technology and Management. Vol. 21 No. 5/6. Pp. 222-237

DOI: 10.1504/IJETM.2018.100581.

14. Skinner, S. (2018). Digital Human: The Fourth Revolution of Humanity Includes Everyone. Wiley.

15. Sotnyk, I. (2012). Trends and problems of management of production and consumption dematerialization. Actual Problems of Economics. 8. 62-67.

16. Sotnyk, I. N., Dehtyarova I. B., Kovalenko, Y. V. (2015). Current threats to energy and resource efficient development of Ukrainian economy. Actual Problems of Economics. 11 (173). 137-145.

17. Technology, jobs, and the future of work (2017). McKinsey Global Institute Available at https://www.mckinsey.com/featured-insights/employment-and-growth/technology-jobs-and-the-future-of-work.

Part 6. Interdisciplinary approach to solving the problem of improving the life quality

- 6.1. Aleksander Kuzienkow PhD of Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Associate Professor
 Nikita Głazow – Master Student
 Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, Dnipro, Ukraine
 Jana Głazowa – PhD in Economics, Associate Professor
 Berdyansk State Pedagogical University, Berdyansk, Ukraine.
- **6.2.** *Nataliya Martynenko* PhD in History, Associate Professor Kharkiv National Medical University, Kharkiv, Ukraine.
- 6.3. *Leonid Melnyk* Doctor in Economics, Professor *Iryna Dehtyarova* – PhD in Economics, Associate Professor *Mykola Kharchenko* – PhD in Economics, Associate Professor Sumy State University, Sumy, Ukraine.
- 6.4. Viacheslav Osadchyi Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor Vladyslav Kruglyk – Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor Hanna Varina – Master in Psychology, Senior Lecturer Bogdan Khmelnitsky Melitopol State Pedagogical University, Melitopol, Ukraine.
- 6.5. Viacheslav Osadchyi Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor Kateryna Osadcha – Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor Hanna Varina – Master in Psychology, Senior Lecturer Bogdan Khmelnitsky Melitopol State Pedagogical University, Melitopol, Ukraine.
- **6.6.** *Wladyslaw Wornalkiewicz* PhD, Professor WSZiA The Academy of Management and Administration in Opole, Opole, Poland.
- 6.7. Svitlana Avdieieva Senior Lecturer Irina Minakova – Senior Lecturer Zaporizhzhia Regional Institute of Postgraduate Pedagogical Education, Zaporizhzhia, Ukraine.
- **6.8.** *Mykola Balukh* Lecturer Khmelnytskyi Humanitarian-Pedagogical Academy, Khmelnytskyi, Ukraine.
- 6.9. Galyna Buchkivska Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor, Honored Artist of Ukraine Valentyna Baranovska – PhD of Pedagogical Sciences, Associate Professor Khmelnytskyi Humanitarian-Pedagogical Academy, Khmelnytskyi, Ukraine.
- 6.10. Eleonora Vlasenko Senior Lecturer
 Iryna Stotyka PhD of Pedagogical Sciences, Associate Professor
 Oleksandr Stotyka PhD of Pedagogical Sciences, Associate Professor
 Bogdan Khmelnitsky Melitopol State Pedagogical University, Melitopol, Ukraine.