

An Inquiry on Social Issues - Part 2

Harshad Dave

Additional General Manager (Retd.), Gujarat State Fertilizers and Chemicals Ltd. (GSFC), Vadodara, Gujarat, India

Abstract

This write up is further to and in continuation of previous article "An inquiry on social issues, Part 1". We are aware that we all face various types of social issues like corruption, terrorism, drug addiction, child labour, prostitution and human trafficking etc. Till date we fight against them but we could not surmount them. On the contrarily, some of them have given such impact on us as if they are impossible to curb. We have already discussed that following seven reasons are responsible for the subject social issues. For the sake of simplicity, I reproduce all the seven reasons here bellow to make it easy for the readers of this Part 2.

- 1. Presence of surplus population.
- 2. Sentiments of parents.
- 3. Ardent desire to satisfy ambitious wants and possibility of its realization.
- 4. Social system and human weaknesses.
- 5. Difference between two socio economic formations.
- 6. Rights and freedom without competency.
- 7. Some biological characteristics of human being and weaknesses in social system.

Keywords: social issue, preconditions, surplus population, socio-economic formation.

JEL Classification: A13, A14, B21, F43, F50, I24, O12, P16, P26, P48, Z13.

© The Author, 2017. This article is published with open access at ARMG Publishing.

Introduction

Here we are going to shortly discuss on ways and means with which the above seven causes influence our social system, its net work and social institutions. The discussion is kept short and simple as far as possible and all the causes are discussed simultaneously to reduce the length of the write up. Otherwise the subject matter is so wide and deep that elaborative discussion might constitute a book.

Discussion on "Where and how the above seven causes influence the society."

We discussed and learned about some of the *social issues* that we perpetually face in social system. We live in evolved *human social system*. It is the system where man is the prime constructor of the system. It is true; the social system enjoyed various privileges over wild life system. Our social system also enjoys some immunity from the application of natural laws of wild life system. The immunity could be realized only because we developed social systems and institutions of our design. We modified them on our experience and as per time demand.

However, there are *natural laws*, *environment*, *limitations of man against nature* and many *force majors* that a man could not fore see or count on, while he was designing the human social system. Ultimately, it resulted into an evolved human society instead of perfectly and exclusively constructed and developed system by man. The evolved constitution of the society remained valid partially only due to the above discussed limitations. Otherwise, the man had intended for different expectation and anticipation through his design of the social system. Even today, the constitution of our human society is valid and effective in restricted mode only against power of nature. The immunities and protection from natural challenges are valid with many "if, but and provided".

For example, when a famine hits a region in wild life system, it ensures substantial destruction of living creatures including primitive men also due to starvation. If the men have developed a society with advance facilities and infrastructure, the storage of food in protected warehouses gives men immunity from the process of above subject population destruction in wild life due to famine. However, the above immunity is available only if the sufficient stock of food is safely stored in the warehouses, its preservation and distribution system is also established. These are the inevitable requirements if man wants to enjoy the protective



benefit of his knowledge. We recognize them as concerned *preconditions*. If they are not complied, the people will face the starvation and again natural laws will be applicable.

As discussed above, where man failed to constitute a system or could not develop a process that might ensure perfect replacement against prevailed natural laws, the application of the natural laws invariably holds good. It gets implemented in our society by default. Man has never acquired immunity from application of natural laws by default when his system failed. The ultimate upper hand of nature/God perhaps will remain up forever. The progressive development of human society got constituted with mixed influence. The development of human society was nothing but ability of man to establish his own system independent from natural wild life system. The level of independence from natural system always remained in direct proportion to the perfectness of the replaced process by man against the natural process.

Let us take up the first *prime cause* —"Surplus population" — of the *social issue*. The natural process to curb population strength could not be replaced with any perfect process by man. Unfortunately, man did not (rather could not) constitute any process and method that might ensure destruction of surplus human population in the society as we discussed before. If population strength survived at higher level with the help of productive system of the society that has been developed after a length of time, the incessant functioning of the same system with the same efficiency is one of the *preconditions* for the survival of population at the same level and strength. If the above functioning gets paralyzed, threat to population destruction emerges.

Let us hypothetically say, a society survives with just balanced population strength with perpetual growth in population. As soon as surplus population grows, either there must be a provision for its accommodation; otherwise there must be a method and process for its destruction. But, the provision for its accommodation is not adequate and facility to destruct it is not available in the social system, while growth in population is ceaseless. This situation needs a detail study. Let us carry out this study in short span.

There are two reasons that might contract a growth in surplus population.

- 1. An increase in population strength with the same social system.
- 2. A reduction in efficiency of the productivity of the social system due to any reason with the same population level and strength.

Above both or any one of the reasons will leave the society with surplus population growth. This surplus population has no right to survive as per natural laws, irrespective of our ethical feelings because natural law says so. Our social system has no specific process to destruct the surplus population. The presence of surplus population without any determined process of its destruction is a breach of the *precondition*. As soon as the *precondition* is breached, natural law will surely apply by default. As the social system is constructed by man as well as by laws of evolution, the profile of application of natural laws by default to smash the surplus population is also different than that of in wild life. The process and method that prevails in the wild life system to curb population strength might not find its applicability and might not be effective in the human social system.

As water finds its level finally, the inevitable effect of natural law to destroy surplus population as well as complex constitutional structure of the social system generate a situational pressure to find out flows and limitations in the system. The flows and voids in the complex structure of our social system are generated due to the inherent characteristics of men living in the system. These characteristics were otherwise dormant in men as they (the characteristics) did not find opportunity and environment to find place in their (men's) actions. The *presence of surplus population* and *force of natural laws* provided both "opportunity and environment" to many dormant characteristics in men to come out. Let us discuss one or two dormant characteristics.

We are aware that even lions have to face starvation in jungle many times when there is a shortage of availability of preys and games. Whenever there is an opportunity to get a kill and to eat it, there does not stand any ethics "women and children first". However, the strongest lion consumes the food from the carcass till its hunger gets satisfied. There might be occasions when there is no left out for others when the lion stands up from the kill. Cases are there some young or weak lions/lioness might lose their lives due to starvation or in conflict. This pattern of lifestyle might have prevailed since hundreds of thousands of years and more. But, it neither induced with a remedy that a young lioness accepted to be a sex worker to make her living nor she would have found any client lion for the same.



A lion will surely grab a kill from a leopard or cheetah irrespective of our theory of ethics, but till date we have never heard that a lion made an arrangement that a determined part (quantity) of the kill must be forwarded to its den as a ransom. When there was no establishment of human society, man was also with his natural characteristics. But some of the characteristics like corruption, black marketing, quality adulteration, services as a pimp, making living on sex working and many others, were not known in primitive time. It is the defective social environment in the system that induced such dormant characteristics in man.

Let us again go back to our discussion and see how such characteristics found opportunities to come out from their dormant status.

There are two consequential effects of presence of surplus population that might appear.

One effect is men living in the society face *stress* in their mind due to presence of the surplus population.

Second one is a *strain* in the relations, dealings and interactions among different *systems and institutions*, among *men and institutions* as well as among men *inter se* living in the system. This we have discussed before at a glance.

From where the *stress* and *strain* emerged is a vital question.

- ➤ There is a presence of surplus population.
- There is no determined process to destruct the same in the social system.
- The application of natural law to destruct the surplus population is inevitable in the given situation.
- The established social systems and institutions do not permit application of natural process to destruct surplus population as done in wild life.
- Force of natural law can never be repelled when surplus population is present in the society.
- ➤ This generates inevitable situational pressure on social net work.
- This situation ultimately results into a development of social struggle creating the subject *stress* and *strain*

People making living in the society face crisis for survival due to many reasons where presence of surplus population and the above resultant *stress* and *strain* are prime. New generation, entering the social system as matured persons, also face challenges to make a room for them as independent survivors. The resultant struggle for survival in the society is different than that of in wild life.

The social system has different constitution than that of wild life. It could be realized when men found out ways and means to apply such abilities with them that were, otherwise, not recognized by nature in wild life system to make living. In the similar way, the same social system did have a constitutional structure that provided opportunities and environment to find exposure to such dormant characteristics in the acts and omissions of men; otherwise, the same had no chance to find place in men's performance in wild life system.

The generated social pressure due to surplus population, the flows and voids in the social system and presence of dormant characteristics in men ultimately resulted into some such acts by men that were never heard or appeared before. All the developments in philosophical, ethical, religious and logical perceptions have basic foundations on the above acts. Let us try to understand this point through a discussion on some practical happenings in the society.

For example, looting, snatching and grabbing are lawful acts in wild life system, provided a creature should have warranted abilities for the same. You might have seen a deer preyed by a cheetah authentically gets looted by hyenas or lioness if occasion arises. A kite might snatch a rabbit carcass hunted by a fox, if the fox is remiss. Nature has validated such acts to make living and survival. Our social system cannot tolerate or endure with such acts. It is because the uninterrupted performing of various systems and institutions in the society is must and inevitable to retain the continuity of the productivity of the social system. Above referred acts create hindrance in the functioning of the social system and that might create a threat to the survival of a major part of population in the social system when frequencies of such events increases. The various special abilities developed by man and recognized by our social system are the prime source for the performance of the social systems and institutions.

The acts of looting, snatching and grabbing create hurdles in the synchronized activities as well as in the smooth working and efficient performing of the above productive system. We have enacted laws and orders and we installed various systems and institutions for their enforcement (police force and judicial system)



just to curb the violation of respective laws. Any act of violation against the system was declared unlawful otherwise above all the three acts were officially lawful in wild life system. Every act of a man that breaches a law or in an event, he departs from discipline, he actually breaches one or more *preconditions*.

When man found opportunity while working to make his living in the society, he used his special or warranted abilities and managed his living. Those could not find opportunity to work for making living because of not having the warranted abilities with them; they were subjected to a pressure for their survival. The pressure created worry and stress in their mind. However, many of them had abilities necessary for grabbing; looting or snatching the means of survival but social system did not permit application of such abilities by enacting laws against such acts. The persistent availability of such manpower is generally known as unemployed manpower. It was an avenue for some people of the society to utilize them.

This was done utilizing them through some of the dormant characteristics in them (unemployed people). They utilized such manpower to fulfil and accomplish their goals that were, otherwise, not feasible to achieve. The people who occupied strategic status in the society used the unemployed people to acquire and realize such resources (money and wealth) that might be utilized for enjoying and satisfying some such wants that were otherwise impossible to realize for them. We find underworld rendering some typical services to some special (affluent) class of people. The people working at various levels to run the network of underworld are with many characteristics that were otherwise dormant in man. I want to point out to the reader that how surplus population indirectly corps up criminalization in the society. It is because, ultimately, availability of unemployed manpower and that is founded on the presence of surplus population only.

I have listed total seven causes responsible for the crippling *social issues* as shown in initial part of this article. Sometimes, we find people that have many wants and yearn to satisfy but they do not have adequate resources to realize the fulfilment of the same. Let us see how the *preconditions* are breached to satisfy some yearn/wants beyond their reach.

The men of major part of the people generally remain in shortage of resources necessary for making a typical way of a life of their dream. They are not satisfied with the life that they live within the framework of available resources with them. They always strive for a way of life that they believe well than that of they generally live. They persistently dream for such life. They are always prepared to act and make effort to realize it fully or partly even breaching the *preconditions*. The status of their mind under the subject preparedness instigates them to comply with the *felt necessity of the time* that gives them hope to realize the life that they dream.

Some of the acts in the compliance might be against the voice of their souls as the acts breach the *preconditions*. But the fact is that they could not sustain against propensity and affinity towards yearn inside their minds. You will agree that competency of all men is not equal and uniform with respect to their various abilities. The level of above sustainability also varies person to person. Ultimately it results into a compromise in the compliance to the *preconditions* as discussed above. It will be easy to grasp if we discuss any popular issues of our present society. Let us take only one issue named "corruption".

Before I discuss further, I clarify as follow. When I say rich man, it is with respect to "rich in wealth and useful resources." Further to this, there is no specific parting line that departs a mass of rich people and poor people. Between any two persons who have more wealth and useful resources under his command than that of other man, the former is a rich man compare to later one. Otherwise, philosophically I believe "A man whose understanding, wit and wisdom lead his desire and dream is the real rich man in this world irrespective of quantity of wealth he commands." Unfortunately, there are people in abundance in this world whose wit, wisdom and understanding are piloted by their desire and dream. Let us come back to the line of our discussion.

Corruption: Before we discuss the issue, we shall keep following points clear in our mind.

- ➤ We discussed, there are rich and poor people in our society and all have dreams as above irrespective of their competency.
- All are prepared to realize the dream with their acts and omissions as well as with available resources depending upon their limits of sustainability.
- > Uninterrupted functioning of social system is inevitable for the survival of population at the prevailing socio economic formation level.



- Keeping up the functioning of social system without hindrance is the burden of or responsibility of ruling mass of the people.
- Smooth functioning of a social system is maintained and regulated through *rule of laws* and *precedents* to arrest and control breach of *preconditions*.
- ➤ Breach of the *preconditions* will surely lead the social system towards collapse or push back to previous socio economic formation with a part of population destruction.

A man has always unlimited needs, wants and yearns. He also has his own nesting of them in a priority list. As soon as his one need or want is satisfied, his mind always wishes to try for the next one in his priority list. The process of satisfying the needs and wants or yearn demands resources for the realization of the same. A command on resources warrants for the various types of abilities. There was no social system before primitive time or it was a wild life system where all the abilities must be with the living creature only to satisfy critical needs and some wants occasionally. Only human social system could provide a provision where various abilities could be frozen in a symbolic instrumental substance named "wealth and money". Before going further into the subject matter of discussion, let us glance on an introduction of the profile of various types of people living in the society.

Some of the people that have inadequate resources to fulfil their wants and yearns to enjoy a life of their dream, they might be making their living serving in government or public institutions and offices. Those who do not work in government and public offices will be a major part of the population and few of them might be rich and affluent. Other people are moderate or poor. The people are restricted or controlled by the ruling team presiding on ruling platform from making a deal or doing activities that might breach *preconditions*. But the minds of above all types of people get occupied under *stress* and *strain* due to the presence of surplus population. The resultant *stress* and *strain* dictate the mind of the people to expect unreasonable support from the ruling mass for their (people's) living as well as fulfilling wants/yearns of their dreams that could, otherwise, never be satisfied with inadequate resources with them.

The honest and gentleman people with their wit and wisdom are fully aware that the expected living standard from the majority people without their competency and credibility is beyond realization unless and until the people sacrifice their blood, labour and tears. Unfortunately, majority people with above dream expect its (dream) fulfilling without any devotion by them. The gentlemen of the society also know that the majority of the people are with the expectation far from the reality and they (expecting people) could never be convinced about this fact. In the given situation, a wise man will never like to become a leader of such people of the nation.

The gentlemen slowly disappear from the ruling platform and tenure of falls promise makers and thugs commences. They never hesitate to make falls promise and display unrealistic dreams to the people against their unrealistic expectations. The people in mass are incompetent to grasp the above facts. They are overwhelming for the life of their dream. They are also under the influence of the *stress* and *strain*. But, they do not know the real cause and reasons for the same. These people support with their short sightedness to the thug people attempting to occupy ruling gears. The selfish and short sighted strategy adopted by incompetent people ultimately gives hopeful ground to the thug people.

The above emerged strategic situation slowly removes gentlemen from the ruling platform. The process of fare welling (removal) to gentlemen is done with a presumption that, as if, they (thugs and opportunists) are the real messiah. This is a slow process and it ultimately returns with a different socio economic formation that has most favourable social environment for corruption to crop up. We know it as a corrupted society. Please note that surplus population created *stress* and *strain*, yearn and want for a dream life without resources under command play a vital role.

Whenever a rich man realizes that the established *rule of laws* and *precedents* in developed society are hindrance to his target, goal or fulfilment of his intentions during the process of satisfying his need, want or yearn, he desires to get a relief or immunity from the same (*rule of laws* and *precedents*) temporarily and exclusively for him even breaching *preconditions*, if any. He also wishes to let his intention be fulfilled secretly. However, the act of the subject violation might only be carried out through the people rendering their services in the social system as employees of the government or in public offices and they make their living on reward against serving the offices.



These people (government/public office bearer) are the part of the people (referred above) who too have dreams to satisfy wants and yearn but they do not have adequate resources for the same. These people are trusted and assigned to work in the system with impartial ways and methods within the frame work of *the laws* and *precedents*. However, there is also a feasibility to hinder or to favour any office work by a miss use of powers vested in them. The rich man expects them to misuse their power in his favour against which they might be rewarded with money, wealth or other favour. Such an act by them is a violation of *laws* and *precedents* and a breach in *preconditions*.

If ruling body is healthy, efficient and honest, such acts cannot remain a secret always for a long time. Here, they (both... rich man and office bearer) need soft and protective approach from the ruling team of the society to carry out the task of misuse of the power. They also require protective approach under the circumstances when they misuse their power to favour or to prejudice any one against them in the event if it becomes an issue. I narrate some points as follow from the above discussion.

- A man with wealth and money with him and desirous to satisfy one need, want or yearn. He targets to fulfil the same. He finds some *rule of laws* or *precedents* as an obstacle during the process of fulfilment of his target.
- ➤ He finds possibility of its fulfilment if the *rule of laws* and *precedents* are bypassed or over looked violating the *preconditions* of the social system in favour of him (rich man). The act of bypassing might only be carried out by the people serving in the government or public office, though it is a violation of a law.
- > The people in government or public office are curious to realize a life of their dream by satisfying their wants and yearn. They do not have adequate resources for the same. Their dormant characteristics are ready to render the services breaching the *preconditions* under *stress* and *strain*.
- > There is fair possibility to make a deal as above between rich man and office holders.
- As such act of violation cannot be kept secret for a longer time and it is a punishable crime. The people working in the social body need soft approach from ruling team against such acts of favouring and this is the critical silent demand from both the rich man as well as from government officials or public servants.

The man desirous to such services should find men that are prepared to work for him in the system to ensure further processing of his goal/target even with breach of concerned *preconditions*. Generally it needs money, wealth and other resources to bribe the people who are seating on executive position in public office.

The anticipated compromise and departure in the *rule of laws* and *precedents* by rich man warrant cooperation from the ruling platform to provide a silent safeguard to the employed people in government and other public office that co-operate the rich man. Also, the rich man needs to make a deal with the people working in the system to render their services to breach the *precondition* for him against a bribe. Above deal is inevitable also to fulfil his goal. We are aware that now there are very few honest and wise men in the ruling team as discussed before. The thugs and opportunistic have occupied the ruling platform.

There is a fair possibility to contract thug and opportunistic in ruling team unofficially to provide the necessary arrangement for the required safeguard. The ruling team slowly implements a policy of administration where it will be very clumsy and laborious to take action against any government person for his corrupted act. This policy is to create an discouraging environment in the minds of the people and to create public impression that every demand of justice raised by the people against any wrong done (breach of *preconditions*) by the people accountable for and working in the government system will get a sluggish, slow and disappointing approach by the offices responsible for the same (police, anti corruption department, judiciary and court procedure etc).

When there are two competitors (rich men) for a same target and one manages his intentions through the breach of *preconditions* by corruption, the deceased competitor will go to the system and office meant for the control and arrest of such violation (through judiciary, anti corruption office, police etc). A farce of legal proceeding starts for a long time. He finds disappointing approach from the people there in the offices. This leads aggrieved people either to drop out from the competition or to go on the same path that his competitor adopted.

The mass on ruling platform is responsible for maintaining the performance and functioning of the social system. The ruling mass cannot be liberal to encourage the people working in the system (government employees) to such an extent that system itself ceases to function and the society goes into anarchy. It is be-



cause collapse of society will end their (ruling people's) dreams and it will cause a threat to their vested interests.

It is clear that there is a rich man striving to get special favour even with a breach of the *preconditions*. There are men in the system who strives for realizing a life of his dream but shortage of resources with them restricts its (dream) realization. Both the sides are under *stress* due to presence of surplus population. System relations are also under *strain* as already discussed. This environment creates a situation that instigates their dormant characteristics and qualities to erupt out in the form of action from its dormant status to active one. These dormant characteristics are those that entire crop up a basic foundation to present *social issues* that we discuss.

Let us go back to our discussion. The ruling mass might become hindrance to this deal through *rule of laws*. But, fortunate to the rich and poor men and unfortunate to the health of social system, both finds appropriate sub standard quality in ruling mass.

The most crippling work of these ruling people is to maintain a balance in a way that complete functioning of the social system should not get jeopardized totally. The ruling mass with thugs and cheaters cannot permit an excess liberty to depart from the *rule of laws* to such an extent that a total collapse of the social system might be at risk. Also, the quantum of such departure grows slowly and progressively because departure from *rule of laws* requires low morale people at every points and corners of the system of concern government institutions and offices. The dormant characteristics need time to get out in the form of action in each man as his soul resists it to come out. The *stress* and *strain* compel him to bring those characteristics out even against the voice of his soul. The process narrated as bellow is a slow but progressive towards a corrupted society.

- The *stress* and *strain* developed due to surplus population exert influence on people as well as on the system of the society.
- Expectation of a mass of people to realize their ardent desire irrespective of their competency to realize a life of their dream.
- Inability of gentlemen ruler to fulfil the dream of the above people. That ultimately results into their departure from ruling platform. Thugs and opportunistic rulers enter with the support of short sighted mass of the people under the name of democracy.
- ➤ Rich people and dreaming employees find possibility to make deal against *rule of laws*. The *stress* and *strain* provides environment for the same because the low morale rulers also become part of the game.
- > Some specific characteristics that were dormant in human body now find their way out slowly and it transforms prevailing system to constitute a corrupted society.

While going through the above discussion, the reader might realize how the various *causes of the social issues* plays role and exert influence on the popular *social issues*. Let us discuss one more point with an example.

While surfing on web, I navigated through some webs regarding world's costly meal/dinner offered by various restaurants. One of them reads....

"According to a Forbes report, World of Diamonds – the makers of The Jane Seymour Vivid Blue Diamond Ring – are organizing a special \$2 million dining experience for two, which includes a luxurious journey on air, land and sea, culminating with a dinner at Ce' La Vi, in Singapore. The cherry on top? Owning The Jane Seymour Vivid Blue diamond ring as well" [http://mashable.com/2016/07/12/2-mil-meal/#LtweMIgWZgqL].

If we take on and average earning of a labour in various countries against 8 hour labour work per day, it might vary to the tune of 10 dollars to 50 dollars. Let us reasonably accept that an average earning (wage) against labour work for 8 hours is 35 dollars (Year 2016). If we compare it with the transaction of 2 million dollars against a "dinner package" for two persons as above, a fair and honest man will surely agree that there is something wrong in our social system. The package is not an issue. The real dilemma that might get conceived in a gentleman's mind is "Availability of clients of such scheme from our social system as well as the client has all the provisions to transact a colossal amount of a currency for the *dinner package*. Unfortunately same social system pays 35 dollars against 8 hours of hard labour. All the answers of present *social issues* of international level might surely get accommodated and harbour between *a wage of 35 dollars* (8 hr. labour) and a dinner package costing 2 million dollars for two.



Even if we treat the dinner package of 2 million as an exceptional case, there are innumerable restaurants costing 5000 dollars for a delicious dinner. Our above observation will equally hold good for them also as 5000 dollar is also not a small amount compare to 35 dollars. The numbers of clients enjoying a dinner for 5000 dollars will be in folds compare to that of 2 million dollars. It is nothing but provision of satisfying yearns of wealthy people. It is our evolved social system that has facilitated necessary network for some people to manifest, enjoy and realize their wants, wish, lust and yearn that were, otherwise, neither recognized by nor could be realized in wild life system. The wild life system recognizes essential needs only and the structure design of wild life system never provides an environment where any creature might find time and support to satisfy a want beyond their essential needs. It is the social system of man that has evolved with some inherent flows in it due to human weakness and incompetency and that provides an environment, opportunities and feasibility of emerging the above discussed *social issues*.

Let us hypothetically presume that our social system has successfully curbed the population growth in a way that there never remain surplus population in a society but the level and strength of population marginally remains below saturation line. A question will surely emerge. Dose the above problem of social issue will automatically get settled? I say "No".

If we read our history, we shall learn that millions of generations lived in slowly growing society where people produced innumerable kids without any planned curbing on birth rate. Wild life system has no concept of family planning. All living creatures are free to produce kids without any limit or control. The shrewd system takes care of maintaining the level of population within a limit by destructing surplus population.

We also followed the same practice to produce unlimited kids throughout all the time of our social development except last few decades in the process of kid production. Literacy and education as well as our contemplation on various hardships of the people and issues in the social system brought to our notice that production of excess kids is the cause of many perils and hardships in our life. A nation itself as a whole faces difficulty to settle various issues of the society only because of the tremendous population growth. Various countries (particularly literate and educated nations) initiated different actions to curb the population growth. The systematic awareness in this regard resulted into various actions at different level in different countries.

Many countries in Europe and USA emerged with different types of actions with self initiative based on the understanding of their people to control population strength. China did it by law imposition in this regard with people's cooperation and discipline. India and other countries took some initiatives but the remedial actions to curb population growth were not of compulsive nature. Such countries did not get appropriate success to curb population growth. Likewise many other Asian countries tried and failed to curb, while some countries did not tried at all. Many countries deliberately introduced culture in their people to produce maximum population of their cast, creed and religion.

Let us shortly examine the outcome of this attempt. As there are many positive and negative outcomes and its analysis is a wide topic for discussion, I just discuss only one vital point of our prime concern. I shall discuss on a prime point and I leave it to the readers for their conclusion. However, the point is applicable to all the nations and countries of this planet Earth.

Let us consider two independent habitations of men at different places and both are not in association with each other, and we say them habitations H1 and H2. The people in H1 are literate, educated, most civilized with refined culture and social discipline. The people of H1 have maintained the population strength always near to or below the saturation line. On other end, majority people of H2 are illiterate, orthodox and almost uneducated. They lack in good social discipline and make their living in backward and conservative civilization. Here people of H2 do not believe to curb population growth. They let it grow without any deliberate and planned curb on it, rather with the specific intentions to multiply the population strength of their cast, creed and religion in folds. Let us examine the development process of growing fresh generations in H1 and H2 both.

We shall first investigate how the kids in the society of H2 with uncontrolled population strength grow to their young age. The kids in the society of H2 start to face struggle of survival inside the family right from the infant stage only. When a family produces kids without a limit as it is being carried out by animals in wild life system and irrespective of inability to nourish them, the growth process of the kids in the family becomes full of internal struggle and under the shortage of resources necessary for kids' survival. It is because the parents have limited resources to feed them even for their survival.



If a couple produces on an average 15 kids during their life span, some of them would lose their life before they attain the age of adolescent. If average 6 to 7 reaches to the age of adolescent out of 15 born, one should not forget that they all (survived kids) have passed through a struggle of survival. They have tested many odds and evens of life before they reached the age of adolescent. The harsh time through which they passed have trained them how to maintain stamina and sustain with the challenges. It is the most valuable lessons of life as well as their sustainable characteristics become an asset of H2 society.

Still further, they are going to face many lessons of life while they deal the outside world and people other than their family members onwards to the age of adolescent and till they reach to the age of a young matured person. It is likely that during the journey from adolescent age to young age and while dealing with surrounded society or outside world during the time, they still reduce and might finally survive 4 to 5 persons as a new young generation out of the total 15 kids. Each parents on an average lost 10 to 11 kids during their life span with a final survival of 4 to 5. The finally survived enter the society as part of fresh young generation.

You will agree that the finally survived kids that reached to young age have passed through extreme struggle where many of them died with one or other reasons. This is nothing but the survived youths are with a sustainable as well as various typical and powerful qualities. Their competency to fight with challenges is now already proven. Most of them are those youths who have dark future, harsh reminiscences of childhood, various powerful qualities that got developed during the life span they passed through. They are with an ardent zeal to do something for their well being without knowing from where does the well being come? They are completely unaware of history of social development and how it evolved. They are nothing but just a store of living dynamite.

It is not the same case of H1 habitation. But, they are suffering from more complex problem and unfortunately most of the people from H1 are not aware of it. Those who are aware of this fact they are silent on it only because it might challenge their vested interests if it is made public. We all are aware that it is impossible to predict about the competency of a new born kid. Only time and events will prove after its survival through the challenges of life. It is not sure out of total kids produced, how many and which kids of a parent will be most competent. If they would have total 15 kids, then one, two or more out of 15 kids born might be competent and balance would be dull or incompetent. But it cannot be determined on which position the competent kids might be born from 1 to 15. No one can predict if the competent kids take birth falling on first, second or on any other positions out of 15. It is agreed that there are fair possibility that some of the kids out of 15 born might be enough competent, but it is not sure on which position from 1 to 15 they might take birth.

The people of H1 habitation are literate and educated and they believe that if they put a curb and control on population production and produce one, two or at the most three kids during their life span, they will have enough resources to nourish them with all facilities and comforts. At the same time they all (parents and kids) will have fair opportunities to make a living that is apparently quiet comfortable and with many conveniences as well as facilities and luxury under their command. It turns out to be true also. But one vital point remains out of sight.

When they produce one, two or three kids, the kids take their position in birth sequence as first, second or third. Suppose, in a random case study, a couple would have 4 best competent kids out of those 15 kids, they would have produced if they did not exercise a curb on it. What are the chances that those best competent kids will fall on the one or more positions first, second, third and fourth, out of the total 4 competent kids scheduled in their fate? If we calculate with the theory of probability, such chances are enough faint, though not nil.

Further to this, the kids in H1 habitation are going to pass through better care and nourishment. It is because the facilities and conveniences make their life comfortable with plenty of resources available for them. Unfortunately, this situation and way of growing the kids deprives them from facing challenges, odds and evens of life right from the beginning of their life. They basically attain their matured age under the false presumption that "life is always comfortable and beautiful only and it has to be that only". Their sustainable competency, willpower and stamina to fight for the survival remains in dormant condition only and they do not have any familiarity for the fact that life is full of challenges and hardships.

If I say in other words, they have experience of civilized and refined challenges only. They enter the social system at their young age as an untrained people without any experience and stamina for facing odds and



harsh circumstances and it is the great loss the people of H1 has to sustain with against their policy to curb unlimited population production.

Let us come back to H2. If we take total view of H2 habitation for a period of (say) 100 years, the filtered and finally survived people of the H2 is an uncivilized, illiterate, uneducated, orthodox mass with very high stamina to face extreme circumstances, challenges, odds and evens of life. This situation gives their mind to develop with barbaric mind set. When such habitation survives and grows for a long period of hundreds of years or more, the social culture and environment ultimately casts such mind set in their DNA.

On other side, the people in H1 are with refined civilization, literate and educated but majority population is not competent to sustain with stamina against harsh circumstances and challenges of life with barbaric mind set. When the people in H1 society passed hundreds of years or more with the literacy and education, the culture quenched down their barbaric character from their DNA.

Initially I have stated that H1 and H2 are not in association to each other. But, if they come under association with each other and a conflict of interest in common arises during the interactions and dealings under the association, it is beyond the mind set of people of H1 to stand against the people of H2 to settle the differences through a physical field fighting. Our history speaks for this. There are innumerable events of migrations of such barbaric population of H2 towards the land where H1 resides. Let us adhere to the line of subject matter of our discussion only. Curbing of population growth with an understanding might resolve one problem of presence of surplus population. However, the same will contract with new problem of "weak fresh generation". It also introduces inability in the H1 people to meet the barbaric threat from habitations like H2 people. This induced man from H1 to have such weapons on hand that will counter the barbaric ability of H2 people. The H2 people might be surmounted by H1 remaining outside of the radius of striking capacity of barbaric people of H2. This lead gives upper hand to H1 people, if they come under association with H2 people.

When two habitations are at different socio economic formations with a large difference between two, interactions and association between two becomes one of the source of the *social issues*. The nation that is with far advance socio economic formation (H1) has all the favourable circumstances to make an association with a nation with far back word status of socio economic formation (H2). Such association results into cropping up of excess population in H2 creating dependency on the subject association with H1 for their survival. The lucrative return that H1 receives from the above association brings lots of wealth to H1. The people of H1 and process of growing of new generation come under the influence of the inflow of the wealth. It provides innumerable facilities and conveniences to all the people of H1 and incoming new generation also gets wetted under influence of the wealth. Unfortunately, this excess flow of wealth and artificially created luxurious life style crops up a generation of *violin and guitar players*, *fans of casino and a team of rave party*, *yellow bike riders* & *street Romeo* etc.

A practical test of harshness of life, facing the challenges, odd and even circumstances with shortage of resources and ups and downs in our life journey with grief and sorrow are the inevitable parameters that build a man with courage, stamina and will power. Those are the most vital qualities in a man who can stand abide with his commitments to protect and safeguard the genuine *preconditions* to be complied as per our social constitution. Unfortunately the association between two nations discussed as above destroyed all such qualities in both the nations (H1 and H2). Existence of surplus population as above in H2 and existence of weak population (without genuine qualities as discussed above) in H1 become one of the prime parameter to provide a foundation to the *social issues* that we discuss in this article.

It is not necessary that there should be two separate nations or habitations with different level of socio economic formations and both should be in association for the presence of the subject *social issues*. If a nation is with a mass of part of the population that is with a mindset far backward in their level of socio economic formation, and other part of the population in the same nation is with a mind set of substantially forward socio economic formation, this difference will create the *social issues*. Let us take an example of USA.

If we go through the history, European people brought African black people to America along with them when they migrated to establish on newly discovered continent. The process of migration, establishment and flow of Negroes through a slave trade continued for a long time. Difference of opinion on the issue of slavery was boiling since long and a time came when Emancipation Act was passed. They were freed from the



slavery. The story is not as easy as narrated above in few lines. USA had to pass through a civil war with a loss of nearly six hundred thousand men.

The issue ultimately settled and slaves became free men. However, we hear and learn even today about events sprouted from the *social issues* where smell of apartheid might be sensed. It is my observation and impression that most of the people in USA are not aware where exactly the problem harbours. If you ask a colour man if he finds disgust or hate and sense of apartheid in the behaviour of whites, you might get answer with mixed impressions. I narrate some of them here bellow,

- > "Of course all whites are not equal; however, in majority cases you will feel they avoid occasions and events of interactions or dealing with colour people".
- If have seen many white men where you never find smallest trace of disgust for colour man. They are frank and with mixing nature. However, you might not find all white people with same attitude. You may find some of them rude and apparently prejudicial to colour people".
- ➤ "I have an impression that many of them (white) avoid to deal or interact with colour people as far as possible, but, if occasion or event arises for the same they do it generously, you will not find any avoiding approach during the dealing. Of course this is not true for all white people".

I narrate some views on above issue where difference of two socio economic formations plays a vital role.

The first and for most question is —"Why a man should honour other man?". The master file of wild life system teaches us in this regard that two animals behave as a stranger, if their interests are not in common and do not have a concern. However, they remain alert from each others. This is true for animals of different species. There always remains interactions and friction between two animals of same species. However, they live in wild life system. But, we make our living in a human society. It is developed or evolved with many *preconditions*. Our social system has taught us to behave with other person in compliance to some established norms and precedents irrespective of status of our interests. This is must for the smooth and trouble free performance of systems and institutions of our society. That is why it is expected to be complied by all as a *precondition*. One point must be noted here that this *precondition* was evolved (untold and unwritten) in a habitation that is with uniform socio economic formation. It was throughout uniform for all the people residing in a habitation or a society.

When two masses of people from two different societies with a large difference between the socio economic formations of them mix and live together, every instance of interaction and dealing between two persons from each society faces many voids and gaps in the consideration of influencing parameters that regulates their interaction and dealing process. These voids and gaps create an unpleasant test in the dealing and interaction between the two. It induces grievances on both the side. Here one feels disappointing and disgusting and other feels differentiating and avoiding during the dealing. But, unfortunately both do not know that the root cause of the above feelings harbours in the difference between their brain threads and DNA structure that were casted as per the respective socio economic formations they lived in since thousands of years and more. Let us look into this point.

Who were the people that migrated to new continent (America) discovered by Columbus after year 1492? They were over flooding population of Europe continent. Who were they when they migrated to America? They were the men and women whose forefathers passed through a long journey as mentioned in the history of Europe.

If you go back to 1200 BC and pass through the history of ancient Greece, Roman Empire, you will reach at the vicinity of Middle Age. Go through the Frankish Empire, Holy Roman Empire and Viking Age, then came Crusades, High Middle Age, Mongol, Late Middle Age, Hundred Years War, Ottoman Empire, Industrial Revolution, British Empire and Discovery of America. The people of Europe that lived in and passed through the path as per above history, they had all the evolutionary development in their brain threads and complex network of hereditary characteristics in their DNA. This evolutionary grass root change was ultimately founded on the above history of nearly 2800 to 3000 years and still more if one goes still further back to it.

This people were on new land with a goal to establish there permanently. This people had their total social net work behind them in Europe to support their subject goal. They had means of transportations, language for communication and latest weapons of the time for their defence and an industrial productive system,



wealth of discoveries and inventions done the than till date. They had all the concepts clear regarding social system and institutions with their functions and limitations.

Who were the black people that Europeans (or slave traders) brought with them? They were living in primitive habitations in Africa with very primitive socio economic formation. Their forefathers have never passed through the ups and downs in last 3000 years comparable to the lessons European people learned and sustained with and ever before that. The development of brain threads of the black people and structure of their DNA are in compliance with the pattern of life their forefathers passed through in Africa and its status was in line with the socio economic formation in which they lived when they were forcibly kidnapped as slaves by European people and their agents. Generally we talk about apartheid but it is complex issue. We never give consideration to this fact of difference in brain thread net work and structure of DNA and consequential difficulties people of both the sides face while they have to interact with each others. But it surely surfaced out when majority of European people learned and agreed that slavery is inhuman practice and it should be abolished permanently.

NB: I should clarify that I want to present my views impartially. A reader should not take an impression on one side. Neither I favour apartheid nor do I criticize it, because it is not the subject matter of my discussion. I want to bring a point under consideration in a situation when two different peoples with a large difference in their mind-set as discussed above have to deal and interact with each other. (Let us go back to our discussion.)

Our ethics teach us that all men are equal. Natural laws teach that the entire living creatures have different nature, varying level of competency and varieties of abilities. It means no man is equal. It is a fact that abilities and competencies play a vital and prime role for making living. Then, how do we say that all men are equal. However, I admit that the statement "all men are equal" is not wrong, but it should be adopted in its true meaning and in its right place, time and situation where it is true. My point of discussion is not against the above ethics.

It is a fact that two different mind sets of men from different communities have different profile. This profile has grass root influence in their choices. When they have occasion to interact with each other on any subject matter either because of being together in one society or under association between two nations, waves of dissatisfaction and impels of grievance do have to emerge. If either of them or both of them do not know that the real cause of those waves and impulses is founded on the difference in their mind set, it will result into a hatred for each other.

We all are aware that Abraham Lincoln rendered his most valuable and critical service to the nation (USA) on the issue of slavery. The slavery issue claimed his life but he did it and liberated black people from the slavery with the support of fellow citizens against slavery before his assassination. A man who has thoroughly studied the history of civil war in USA, biography of Abraham Lincoln, Lincoln's speeches, debates, messages and letters to various people as well as to congress, he will surely agree that his true sympathy was with black people for the cause of their freedom. If anyone doubts on it, I should say that he is not correct on any ground. However, I narrate some of the wordings and views of Abraham Lincoln here bellow and I shall clarify my impression and understanding on the same.

[1] Fourth Debate: Charleston, Illinois – September 18, 1858.

"I will say then that I am not, nor ever have been, in favor of bringing about in any way the social and political equality of the white and black races, [applause] – that I am not nor ever have been in favor of making voters or jurors of negroes, nor of qualifying them to hold office, nor to intermarry with white people; and I will say in addition to this that there is a physical difference between the white and black races which I believe will forever forbid the two races living together on terms of social and political equality. And inasmuch as they cannot so live, while they do remain together there must be the position of superior and inferior, and I as much as any other man am in favor of having the superior position assigned to the white race. I say upon this occasion I do not perceive that because the white man is to have the superior position the negro should be denied everything."

[2] "Address on Colonization to a Deputation of Negroe" – August 14, 1862. White House-By Abraham Lincoln.



"The aspiration of men is to enjoy equality with the best when free, but on this broad continent, not a single man of your race is made the equal of a single man of ours. Go where you are treated the best, and the ban is still upon you.

I do not propose to discuss this, but to present it as a fact with which we have to deal. I cannot alter it if I would. It is a fact, about which we all think and feel alike, I and you. We look to our condition, owing to the existence of the two races on this continent. I need not recount to you the effects upon white men, growing out of the institution of Slavery. I believe in its general evil effects on the white race. See our present condition – the country engaged in war! – our white men cutting one another's throats, none knowing how far it will extend; and then consider what we know to be the truth. But for your race among us there could not be war, although many men engaged on either side do not care for you one way or the other. Nevertheless, I repeat, without the institution of Slavery and the colored race as a basis, the war could not have an existence. It is better for us both, therefore, to be separated".

[3] Annual message to Congress: December 1862.

"Applications have been made to me by many free Americans of African descent to favor their emigration, with a view to such colonization as was contemplated in recent acts of Congress. Other parties,.... these countries, from the United States".

I request the reader to evaluate above wordings along with the fact of his sacrifice for the black people. I firmly believe from the above wordings that Abraham Lincoln did know the fact that I have tried to point out regarding difference between mind set of two factions (races) of people living together in same social system. Lincoln tried to explain the same points in his address on August 14, 1862 and in his Charleston speech on September 18, 1858. If it is a fact, it must be under consideration when we talk about and evaluate the *social issues* from it. This fact should also be taught impartially and without hesitation with the lessons of ethics in our education system.

I find it expedient to put one more point of clarification. The above example of hate on colour race is discussed to surface out the role of difference in mind set due to gap between two factions of people belonging to different socio economic formations. The sole purpose of above example is to explain how it influences the subject *social issues* in our discussion. As far as issue of apartheid is concerned, it has many parameters of influence and it cannot be discussed in short as above and it is not our subject matter of discussion. I have discussed one slot only where it evaluates the issue of conflict between white and black/colour people impartially. Reader of this article should not take an impression that the above discussion constitutes my views and perceptions on apartheid in totality. There are other slots also. Though it is not in line with our subject matter, I site an example of one more slot.

If we go through the history of ancient Greece, we learn that there were slaves of same skin colour in the societies of the time. There were precedents and rules for the slaves and slave owners. If I talk about my country (INDIA), in ancient time, there were slaves with rules and precedents for them. It was known as "Dasatwa Prathas" where "das" means slave; "dasatwa" means state of being slave, and "pratha" means established system. But this system in India was among same colour people. China also had slavery system during second millennium (1122 BC) in Shang dynasty among the same colour people.

The traces of human tendency to make slave to others to exploit his labour and efforts are founded everywhere throughout the world. But most noteworthy point is that the slave system existed in a particular zone of socio economic formations. At the same time, we find people everywhere who think against it and contemplation on it convinced people that it is inhuman precedent and practice. We find people of both the types. The first one is with traces in their attitude while dealing with a helpless person to exploit him like a slave. The second one is to be helpful and reasonable irrespective of his helpless situation. These traces must be in the blood (DNA) of the people living today. This fact cannot be denied. Anyway, let us go back to our main line of discussion.

A characteristic that induces an attitude to control other as a slave and hatred due to colour should not be mixed up when we study the social issues pertaining to them. As far as attitude towards slave making characteristic is concerned, it has its own history and it went into the dormant condition as advanced socio economic formation prevailed in the human society. It is my personal view that the attitude to make slave to other has not gone completely from our nature but it does not find an opportunity and environment in the present socio economic formation and that is why it is in dormant condition inside us.



If we hypothetically establish a society with same socio economic formation that prevailed at the time when system of slavery was common everywhere, but the people living in the society are from present society, slowly all the people will again settle with the same slavery system and this is the influence of socio economic formation on slavery system. But, the hatred sprouted from colour difference is basically born from the distinction between two socio economic formations, but unconsciously it is symbolized and linked with the skin colour as the difference in socio economic formation was linked between two people i. e. White and coloured.

NB: The subject matter of slavery and apartheid is very wide. Presently our subject matter is to just analyze "In which way the *social issue of racism on colour base* is linked with the *difference between two socio economic formations* and that we have discussed above."

Today, the people of most of the advance countries live in democratic society. The constitution of democratic society has conferred many rights and freedom of living style to the people. Natural wild life either has not conferred single right to any creature. In other words, Almighty has conferred all the rights without any limit to all the creatures, provided they should prove their competency with their own abilities only to enjoy the same every time on all the events and occasions.

Unfortunately, we have conferred many rights and freedom uniformly to all the people of the nation only on one qualification and that is — "he is a citizen of the nation or he is born on this land and in this nation". There is no verification if the candidate has competent abilities with him to ensure he will exercise his right justifiably. It is a proven fact, if anyone gets anything for which he is not entitled by way of his credibility and competency; he is going to misuse it invariably. This fact is valid and true everywhere on our planet earth without exception. We shall discuss one example to understand the above concept about conferring rights without verification of ability and its resultant consequences.

The declaration of Independence of USA (in the constitution and philosophy of other nations also) confirms that all men are created equal. The second paragraph of the United States Declaration of Independence starts as follows:

"We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights that among these are Life, Liberty and the Pursuit of Happiness."

It is a great declaration, but, whether the real sense and essence of it is perfectly and realistically understood, applied and implemented or not is to be impartially verified. As per my view it is not done fairly. You will agree that no two men are equal in their characteristics. It is a fact to be accepted on empirical ground. You will also agree that the set of active characteristics of a man and skill of their classical application on outside world constitute a level of competency of the man.

Every living creature has two platforms to make its living including men also. One platform is to make efforts for the same on his competency as discussed above. Its competency determines its making living and maintaining the standard. Second platform is his destiny. Second platform is out of bound area for him and it is not under his control. Ultimately his competency determines the realization of his goal and target provided destiny is not against him. That is why it does not guarantee for the same.

- ➤ If competency varies person to person and it is not equal in any two men, how all men might be treated equal? In which way they are to be treated equal?
- If it is limited up to some specific rights that are mentioned in the above referred paragraph-"...unalienable Rights that among these are Life, Liberty and the Pursuit of Happiness", then who should define an innocent "Pursuit of Happiness"? Who will verify and control that definition of Liberty is note changed into a License by someone. How might we confer Rights to anybody without assurance that they won't be misused due to incompetency?
- ➤ How does one ensure that my "Pursuit of Happiness" is not harmful to the social system?
- ➤ How did I may expect some such "Pursuit of Happiness" for which I do not have competency?
- ➤ Why do we not accept this fact and proclaim it loudly in our discussion and teach it in our education system?

Perhaps, it is due to either our invented ethics that have rendered a service to drag people's concentration away from this fact. It successfully diverted people's mind to ethical slogans of mock and misinterpreted equality in men. Perhaps, it emerged from a fear of our own incompetency (or that of our beloved kids or



relatives) and compelled us to unite against this fact. The time unconsciously instigated us to support the fabricated ethics. I trust the forefathers who incorporated the words "all men are created equal" might have had clear concept of equality that they meant. But, next generations conveniently misinterpreted the same to ensure their interest. The process of misinterpretation might be conscious or unconscious or instinctive. I firmly believe such misinterpretation is absolutely feasible, if someone doubts about concept of such misinterpretation. Let me point out an example.

If I recall the wordings of Senator Stephan A. Douglas in his speech at Columbus, Ohio (1860) – "Our fathers, when they framed the Government under which we live, understood this question just as well, and even better, than we do now". The subject matter and issue was on slave system. Subsequently, Abraham Lincoln worked on the concerned facts and discovered the "deliberate misinterpretation of the fact" by Judge Douglas, to the people who are generally not acquainted with the history in detail and in depth, in his address at Cooper Institute, New York City (Feb 1960). If one goes through the above addressing by Lincoln, he will realize that some misinterpretation (even if not deliberate, but by some one's mistake) might bring a big turning point in a social system.

Here, it seems to be the same case. The understanding of the forefathers for the wordings "all men are created equal" seems to be misinterpreted by the series of next generations. Here it is an example we discuss on USA, but the essence of discussion is applicable to all democratic countries. Otherwise, we all know we live in a social system where everyone inhales through the threads and network of economics. We falsely remain under impression that we have constituted the economic net-work of our society. It is the mirror image impression.

In fact, overall integrated resultant impulses of our actions and omissions get reflected in the economic formations. These acts and omissions are partly controlled by us, but they are greatly influenced by our inherent characteristics and by force majors beyond our control. Under the above circumstances and facts, why we do not teach our youth that "it is impossible to treat all as equal"? I personally believe, every nation and society find a good time in the course of life time of the nation/society. During this period, the philosophy of equality finds a support and people of the society adopt this quick-sand policy. This is nothing but to enjoy present on a loan to be paid by future generations.

Man constructed social system with the help of fruits of discoveries and inventions, labour and initiatives. The society grew and evolved. But evolution of human society was not strictly in compliance to the laws of natural evolution because man secured some immunity from the application of natural laws on human society with the help of science and technology. If we spell our observations on natural wild life, there is no equality among different animals and birds, either in different species or within the same species.

However, the bush, jungle, river, lake, rocks; sun light, ocean and terrain of pasture land etc are open for all without partiality. There is no restricted and reserved area. Everyone is at free liberty to attempt for anything it desires and wants, provided the animal/bird should have warranted abilities to get command on the same. This teaches us that nature offers equality to all as far as opportunity is concerned. When nature has given all the rights to all living creatures without prejudice and partiality, but it is mandatory that they must have to secure their right of enjoyment through their abilities only.

Let us verify it in the human society. If we shower various rights and privileges to all people without test and verification of their competency, it is a breach of a *precondition*, because natural laws ask to prove the concerned abilities before its enjoyment while our human society showered some rights without such verification. We know that our society breaths till the social systems and institutions there in perform and function. Otherwise, it will collapse and will be forcibly pushed towards previous socio economic formation. This fact cannot be repelled by men even today.

The maintenance of performance and functioning of social systems and institutions is basically founded on economics where manpower is one of the prime constituents. When this is a fact, potential of various abilities in different men is one of the vital criterions to influence the overall health of social systems and institutions. If we shower various rights and privileges to all or part of the people without verification of their credibility for the same, the sever breach of *precondition* will surely give a negative impact on social health.

For example, we give voting right to all at specific age. It is conferred only on one qualification and it is because he is born in our nation or he has lawfully acquired a citizenship of our nation. Do we have ever verified his capability or potential to participate/share in nation's economics?



- On one side we agree that health and breathing of present society is controlled and regulated by economics.
- The ruling team is the prime responsible body to give health to the economy.
- The ruling team is democratically elected by the people of the nation.
- All the citizens of the nation have a right to vote at entitled age and the value of vote of each person is equal irrespective of his economic potential in the same society.
- We are either ignorant or deliberately silent on the fact that "each voting person has different potential of ability to share with social economy and they all are with a vote in their hand of same potential". In my view, it is the most challenging situation for the good health of the society.
- There are always crooked people present in the society commanding wealth and money with yearns and lust for fulfilling their whims and insanity.
- ➤ There are always selfish and morale less incapable people to work as agents of wealthy people present in the society.
- ➤ We expect that a society should be without the *social issue* and at the same time we do not want to work on above controversy.

Unfortunately, potential of a vote of a soldier fighting for the nation at a grave risk of his life on boarder lines in a territory of all hardship, a vote of a scientist sharing vital inventions and discoveries for the benefit of his nation as well as for mankind as a whole and a vote of a bootlegger or a pimp recently released on bail and jailed two times in past are counted with same and equal potential as far as their votes are concerned. This is the "vote" that determines a team that will preside on the platform to rule the nation.

We all are aware about the fact that majority people of a nation are not perfectly aware of fundamentals of social system and its functioning and repercussions of economical issues on which total productive system of the society is founded and stands. A clique of crooked men might skilfully mould opinion of such unaware people tactfully to realize his selfish goal. Unfortunately, each one from the same people holds a "vote" with equal potential.

They will vote for electing a ruling team. It is very easy for the crooked people to mislead this half knowledge mass with full potential of their votes and to channelize their voting. This fact has given birth to the most shameful word of the time in present democracy "vote-bank". The same vote will prove to be helpful to elect some definite quality of people that might provide anticipated services by some specific mass of the population. The rich and the poor (agents in government office) need their (ruling team) cooperation to fulfil their intentions and goal as already discussed above. The required instruments and social devices to realize this end are means of communications, inefficient and time delay working style of public offices, specifically designed education system and many others. Media, news papers, magazines, movies and other means of communications are the most effective devices that such crooked people use. This has become a chain reaction in the society. The social issues that we discuss are influenced by and concerned with this chain reaction and it has grass root influence on the social issues. As pressure of surplus population increases, crooked people get more free hands.

However, I point out that the above developed culture and actors of the culture are evolved one. It is absurd to fight with the people (rich and corrupted) and ruling clique as they are the part of the resultant evolved development. If there is a combine and simultaneous presence of sun light, wetting of surface by water spattering and fresh air flow, the formation of algae/moss will always be there. We require reconstituting our social structure to break the above combination.

I firmly say and I personally believe we should not mislead our new generation from the fact of the nature remained as proven one, since millions of years. We must teach them — "All men are not created equal, but all should get opportunities to apply and prove their abilities impartially and without prejudice in human society. However, final status of living should always be in line with the overall proven abilities and competency of a person". This should be treated as the most vital and one of the *prime preconditions* to be followed at any cost to maintain a good health of the society. Our forefathers must be aware of this fact but their wordings are not taken in right spirit and someone unjustifiably took help of fabricated ethics to support the misinterpretation of "*equality*".

Let us discuss a last point. I believe *legacy* is one of the most dangerous breaches of *preconditions* in human society. Even a lion can never pass on a cave occupied by him to its next generation in wild life system. It is the burden of its cubs to manage and retain occupation of the cave proving their ability and credibility. We are aware that parents or any other person might pass on wealth, money and other properties/assets on the



name of their kids or heir in our human society as legacy. Unfortunately culture of our social system has developed laws to ensure it.

We all are aware that progressive evolution of human society has developed such a complex social network with various systems and institutions that interactions among them is impossible without the present infrastructure developed for the same. It is the life line for retaining the productivity of the society. As a result, money and wealth have acquired an exceptional potential due to their inevitability for the maintenance of functioning of above systems and institutions as well as productivity of the society. Money/wealth has become a symbolic recognition of a multipurpose ability. If a man without his competency commands a colossal amount of money/wealth in present society, he is no less dangerous than an ape with a loaded automatic gun in his hand and standing in front of a people's mob.

It is natural course and a fact that parents have emotional attachment and sentiments towards their kids and they will never like to accept any inferior thing for their kids irrespective of their (kids') competency. We shall address this reality as a Fact 1 in our discussion further to this. It is the *precondition* of our society where a man should make his living in line with his overall competency. As discussed above, though all are not equal, all should get fair opportunities to prove their abilities that too impartially and without prejudice. However, everyone should settle his living as per his proven abilities. This is also in line with natural laws and it is another reality. We shall address it as Fact 2 further to our discussion.

All living creature has some definite time limit to extend their support to their kids. As soon as the time limit more or less gets over, the grown up kids has to make their living on their own. Unfortunately, it is the gravest weakness of our human society where men might provide shelter and support to their kids for an unlimited time and by many ways and means. If we evaluate this reality in view of Fact 1, it is natural and justified. However, if the same reality is evaluated in view of Fact 2, it is a breach of one of the *prime preconditions*.

- We agree that all the parents have emotions and sentiments towards their kids as per natural fact.
- > We all live in human society.
- We agree that there are all the possibilities to provide shelter and support to the kids for an unlimited time in human society depending upon availability of resources with the parents.
- We are aware that there are rich and poor people living in our society.
- ➤ We agree that wealth and money has a status of multipurpose abilities in human society.
- ➤ We also agree that all the people in the society should get impartial and equal opportunities to exhibit their abilities to make their living.

Based on above points of realities; let us view the influence and consequence of Fact 1 and Fact 2 on our social system. Is it possible that parents from rich class are true saints and will not use or misuse their money and wealth to uphold the interest of their kids? It is also a fact as we have already discussed that there are all the possibilities that some of the kids born to reach, wealthy and affluent people (or to any one) might be basically just competent to occupy such working position for making their living that the positions are considered trifle and inferior in society as far as reward or earning is concerned. Generally, the reward and remuneration person gets on such working points is just sufficient to make living in the society.

Do you think that a reach and wealthy millionaire will hold his money in his possession and let his son/daughter do a job of security guard in a shopping mall? Of course, as far as his (son/daughter) overall capabilities are concerned, he cannot be entrusted any more challenging work that attracts better reward and remuneration than that of in the subject one. We might have to leave some exceptional cases if at all there. If it has to happen and incompetent kids of rich people are favoured with the help of money and wealth for an indefinite time even after they are matured and grown to a youth, then it is a serious breach of a *precondition* on which (its fulfilment) our society is evolved and founded. It is because such assistance and support undermines the real competent person who does not have money to prove his competency. With above vision, you might see many people in this society that are basically not competent but retains the status that is founded on the wealth and money from his/her parents, forefathers or guardians. Where did the consequences of such breach of *preconditions* may go?

When parents have to settle their incompetent kids to secure a wealthy and comfortable life in absence of deserving credibility and abilities of the kids to be fit for the same, they need immoral support from the social system. Every reach and wealthy families have the same problem for their one or more kids. This situation leads them to unite at least on this cause and purpose. An unlisted and unregistered association of reach,



wealthy and affluent mass of people with full honesty, cooperation, regard, sympathy and feelings of devotion slowly gets formulated invisibly as far as the issue of their incompetent kids is concerned. Still, this association is not sufficient to assure the well being of their inept kids. The parents need further cooperation from the government offices, and poor people. The cooperation from government offices and ruling team is already discussed and it results into corrupted society. They also need some typical people who have various abilities to protect interests of their kids. If we look into process of help and favour that is searched by the parents of weak kids, it might be enlisted as follows (there are many but we have taken very few only):

- They need a helpless and honest but dynamic and talented person on whose shoulder his weak son will ride.
- > They will put their level best efforts to suppress each and every competitor who might be a challenge to his son/daughter. Here they do not hesitate to breach any numbers of *preconditions* for the subject target.
- They will try to utilize all the public offices in a way to help or benefit their kids breaching *preconditions* also.
- > Every failure of his kid will be compensated by money and wealth. This process creates the most hateful pollution to the moral health of the society.

I hope readers are clear about the harm that a parent cause to a good social system just to protect the interests of their incompetent kids as discussed above. But, this is one part of the harm. There is second part also that brings curses to the well being of the society. Let us shortly glance on the second part.

A man from a poor status and without any external supporting resources might make his carrier with the assets of his abilities and favour of fate. If he becomes a billionaire industrialist, I agree he developed his status and kingdom on his own and let us accept and acknowledge his potential and ability. However, when he passes his kingdom of wealth, money and reputation on his son who is born with a silver spoon and as a claimer of legacy, the administration and regulation of the kingdom do not go as smooth as it was.

We are aware and we have already discussed that competency of the kid cannot be firmly predicted. However he is getting shelter and support from his parents for an indefinite length of time with the help of money and wealth earned by his parents or guardians. There are three possibilities as follows:

- 1. The kid might be dull and incompetent compare to required average level of competency in the prevailing socio economic formation.
- 2. The kid might be average in its competitiveness.
- 3. The kid proves to be more dynamic, able and intelligent compare to his parents.

As far as case 2 and case 3 are concerned, let us presume and I believe it might not create any substantial harm to the society. However, if it is the case 1, it is going to be harmful to the society. The incompetent kid becomes the lawful heir of the wealth, money and reputation of his parents when he is at matured age. He himself has not competency to command and regulate the system and net work he received from the parents. He will surely mishandle the network and ultimately it will result into loss of total wealth and money along with the reputation. Up till now, analysis of such events, where a son liquidated all the wealth of his parents or forefathers, has remained limited up to the wealth and money he lost or destroyed during his tenure.

But, here I should point out that if some scholars of social science or economics carry out systematic analysis of the series of actions he took after the first day of his occupation (take over) till he lost everything, the scholars will realize that the incompetent heir caused a substantial harm to the good health of the society by his incompetent decisions and actions during his tenure. This harm always remains out of account with any reasons but it must be analyzed because it has close influence and linkages with the *social issues* that we discuss.

I appeal to the reader that they should go through the detail of history of the world and nations in particular. You will find innumerable examples where a very bold worrier won many kingdoms and became a great king of the time. Unfortunately his incompetent prince succeeded and lost the total kingdom along with his life also. However, here also, we account for the total kingdom he got from his great father, and within few years he lost almost all the territories of his kingdom. No one knows or discusses about the peril faced by the people till he lost his hold. But we never concentrate on the most troubling controversy of Fact 1 and Fact 2 that always leads the society ungracefully to a peril.

Applicability of the subject weakness due to parent's sentiments is not limited up to industrialist and millionaires or kings and dukes but the roots of this social weakness, that is founded on the controversy of



Fact 1 and Fact 2, are propagated up to all the people of the society irrespective of their status. The economically poor people have fewer opportunities either to provide shelter to their kids for a longer time or they do not have enough spare resources to offer for their well being, but still it causes proportionate harm to the social system. Look at the youths of affluent people and their activities based on money power in abundance that they receive from their parents, you will realize about the facts when the youth is not competent one.

Ultimately incompetent kids of reach people enjoys a living for which they are not entitled in view of their incompetency, otherwise. The controversy between Fact 1 and Fact 2 as well as the breach of *preconditions* due to that has a grass root linkage with the *social issues* that we discuss here. A colossal amount of wealth and money received by an incompetent heir is also a serious breach of the *precondition* and inflicts irreparable harm to the health of our society and it becomes responsible for the cause of the *social issues*.

Last few words: I have tried to discuss the various causes and parameters that have direct or *invisible links* with the social issues. Generally we try to find out remedy by acting on the superficial reasons and victimize those to whom we presume to be responsible. The remedial actions of each generation have borrowed good wealth of nature to make their present peaceful and pushed the forthcoming generation to become bankrupted.

If we say we are literate and educated, it should reflect in our actions. If we are honest and fair, it must be certified by our deeds and devotions. If we are loyal to nature and God, we should diminish all the distance among "we think", "we speak" and "we act for".

Conclusion

There are seven prime causes that originate the present *social issues*. The people that crop up in the social system (socio economic formation) where above seven causes are present should not be blamed for the peril born by the above *social issues*. It is absurd to fight either with terrorist, corrupted people, or with industrialist and wealthy people or with political people, because they are just like moss/algae developed in the society with above seven causes in prevailed social constitution. If you remove them, they will again develop as if moss/algae develop again and again till they get sun light and water.

If we educate our generation for the facts as discussed above in this write up, at least, future generation will be able to develop a social constitution that breaks the environment supportive to the above seven causes. At present, I find only hopeful road to educate future generation with all the facts of life. Time will offer the best remedy.

References

- 1. Bark Oscar, Theodore, Jr. and Blake, Nelson Manfred (1952). *Since 1900 a history of the United States in our Times*, Third Edition. New York.
- 2. Benjamin, P. Thomas (1952). Biography of Abraham Lincoln. Southern Illinois Iniversity Press, USA.
- 3. Churchill, Winston S. (1964). *The Second World War II*. Pegasaurus Books Ltd., Arundel, United Kingdom.
- 4. Durckheim, Karlfried (1988). Hara the Vital Centre of Man.
- 5. Foucault, Michel (1975). Discipline and Punish.
- 6. Fromm, Erich (1961). Marx's Historical Materialism. Available at https://www.marxists.org/archive/fromm/works/1961/man/ch02.htm.
- 7. Kennedy Hickman (Updated March 16, 2016). World War II: Marshal Georgy Zhukov. Retrieved from https://www.thoughtco.com/g00/world-war-ii-marshal-georgy-zhukov-2360175?i10c.referrer=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.google.com.ua%2F.
- 8. Keynes, John Maynard (1936). *The General Theory of Employment, Interest, and Money*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- 9. Marco, Polo, Hugh, Murray (1845). Travels of Marco Polo. Oliver & Boyd, Edinburg, UK.
- 10. Menger, Carl (2007). Principles of Economics. Ludwig von Mises Institute, Auburn, USA.
- 11. Smith, Adam (1776). Of the Origin and Use of Money. An Inquiry into the Nature and Causes of the Wealth of Nations.
- 12. Weber, Max (1922). Economy and Society.
- 13. Weber, Thomas (2007). *Our Friend "The Enemy": Elite Education in Britain and Germany before World War I.* Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 360 pp.